#### Include Me Out In-Browser Detection of Malicious Third-Party Content Inclusions

Sajjad Arshad, Amin Kharraz, and William Robertson Northeastern University

> Financial Crypto February 2016

















#### Web Threats

- Drive-by downloads
- Phishing site redirection
- Click fraud
- Ad injection, malvertising

#### Third-Party Content Defenses

- Same-origin policy (SOP)
- iframe-based isolation
- Language-based isolation
- Policy enforcement
- Content Security Policy (CSP)

## **Content Security Policy**

Content-Security-Policy: default-src 'self'; img-src: img.trusted.com; script-src: js.trusted.com

- Access control policy that refines SOP
  - Sent by web apps, enforced by browsers
- Allows whitelist specification of allowed origins for classes of web resources



-

#### **Research Questions**

- Could CSP-like trust decisions be made in an automated way without manual policy specification?
- Could these decisions be made and enforced wholly at the browser?

#### Excision

## Goal: Detect malicious content before it can attack the browser

- Builds an abstraction of content inclusion relationships as pages are loaded (*inclusion trees*)
- Pre-learned models classify *inclusion sequences*
- Suspicious sequences blocked (modulo CSP)



#### $l \in \{\texttt{benign}, \texttt{malicious}\}$









#### **Inclusion Trees**

#### An *inclusion tree* records provenance relationships between remotely-loaded resources in a page

- "What origin loaded this content?"
- Distinct from DOM representation
  - "Where" vs. "who"

<!-- http://a.com/a.html -->

```
<html>
  <head>
     <title>...</title>
     <script src="http://a.com/a.js"></script>
     <script src="http://c.com/c.js"></script>
  </head>
  <body>
     <div id="status"></div>
     <img src="/i.jpg">
     <iframe src="http://b.com/">
  </body>
</html>
```

<!-- <u>http://a.com/a.html</u> -->

```
<html>
 <head>
  <title>...</title>
  <script src="<u>http://a.com/a.js</u>"></script>
  <script src="http://c.com/c.js"></script>
 </head>
 <body>
  <div id="status"></div>
  <img src="/i.jpg">
  <iframe src="http://b.com/">
 </body>
</html>
```











#### Inclusion Sequence Classification

## Goal: Given trained models, label inclusion sequences as either benign or malicious

- Feature vectors comprised of *DNS*, *String*, and *Role*-based features
- HMMs trained from labeled data set

- Top-level domains
- Host types
- Domain level
- Alexa ranking

Value	Examples
none	IP addresses, extensions
gen	*.com, *.org
gen-sub	*.example.com
СС	*.us, *.de
cc-sub	*.co.uk, *.com.cn

- Top-level domains
- Host types
- Domain level
- Alexa ranking

Value	Examples
{got,lost}-tld	$ext \rightarrow *.de,$ *.us $\rightarrow$ addr
gen-to-cc	*.org $\rightarrow$ *.de
cc-to-gen	*.uk $\rightarrow$ *.com
same-gen	*.com $\rightarrow$ *.com
diff-gen	*.com $\rightarrow$ *.org

- Top-level domains
- Host types
- Domain level
- Alexa ranking

Value	Examples
ipv4-public	8.8.8.8
ipv4-private	10.0.0.1
dns-sld	google.com
dns-sld-sub	a.example.com
dns-non-sld	<u>b.dyndns.org</u>

- Top-level domains
- Host types
- Domain level
- Alexa ranking

Value	Examples
same-site	a.x.com $\rightarrow$ b.x.com
same-sld	1.dyndns.org → 2.dyndns.org
same-org	example.com → example.de
same-eff-tld	a.co.uk $\rightarrow$ b.co.uk
diff	$x.com \rightarrow y.com$

#### **String Features**

- Non-alphabetic characters
- Unique characters
- Character frequency
- Length
- Entropy

#### **Role Features**

- Three binary features
  - Ad network
  - Content delivery network (CDN)
  - URL shortening service
- Manually compiled list

#### Evaluation

- 1. Are inclusion sequences useful for detecting malicious content?
- 2. How does this method compare with traditional blacklists?
- 3. What are the method's performance and usability characteristics?

#### **Evaluation**

- Data set
  - Repeatedly crawled Alexa Top 200K from June 2014 to May 2015
  - Crawled 20 popular shopping sites in presence of 292 ad-injecting extensions
  - Anti-cloaking, anti-fingerprinting countermeasures
- Trained classifiers using VT as ground truth



#### **Early Detection**

- Compared detection results on new data from June 1 to July 14, 2015
- Detected 177 new malicious hosts later reported in VT
- Also found 89 suspicious hosts that were likely dedicated redirectors



# Performance and Usability

- 10 students that self-reported as expert Internet users
- Each participant explored 50 random websites from Alexa Top 500
  - 31 malicious inclusions
  - 83 errors (mostly high latency resource loads)
- Average 12.2% page latency overhead

#### Conclusion

- Excision identifies malicious resource inclusion sequences and allows for preemptive blocking
- Prototype implementation successfully detected malicious hosts before appearing in blacklists
- Moderate performance overhead
- Inclusion trees are a generally useful abstraction